United States District Court

for the

District of Puerto Rico

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 24-437 (FAB)
JOSE L. RODRIGUEZ-GUZMAN)
Defendant)

Dejenaant)		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
Upon the		
 Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ■ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), 		
he Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of faind conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.		
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:		
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or		
(b) an offense for which a maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Ac		
(21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offense		

- described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
- (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
 - (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
 - (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
- (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
- (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
- (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

⊠B. Rebu	ttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable defendan	e presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the tas required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant d one or more of the following offenses:
	· ·
C	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the ontrolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
\bowtie (2	an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years more is prescribed;
□ (4	an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
,	apprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
□ (5	an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2514, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
⊠C. Conc	lusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
⊠⊤	ne defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
· 	dered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
0	
	ne defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the esumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing tudes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing.
By clear the safety	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, under that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community.
By clear the safety By a prep	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, under that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community.
By clear the safety By a prep	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, under that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community.
By clear the safety By a prepthe defen	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, under that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community.
By clear the safety By a prepthe defen In addition to a	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing under that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. In the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing.
the Court conciliation to a limit to the Safety □ By a prepresent the defension to a limit with the safety □ By a prepresent the defension to a limit with the safety □ By a prepresent the defension to a limit with the safety □ By a prepresent the defension to a limit with the safety □ By a prepresent the safety □ By a prepresent the defension the safety □ By a prepresent the safety □ By a prepresent the defension the safety □ By a prepresent the safety □ By	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing udes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. ny findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong
By clear the safety By a prepthe defending addition to a weight Subjective of the control of th	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, under that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. In findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong set to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
the Court conciliation to a By clear the safety □ By a preprint the defending the defending to a By a great the defending to a By Bubies □ Prior	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing tudes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. In findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong that the defendant is stron
the Court concil By clear the safety By a prepthe defending addition to a weight Subjection in Particular conciliance in the Court conciliance	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, under that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. In findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong set to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted criminal history expansion in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
the Court concil By clear the safety By a prepthe defending addition to a weight Subjection Particular History	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, undes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. In findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted criminal history cipation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ry of violence or use of weapons
the Court concil By clear the safety By a prepthe defend in addition to a limit with the safety Weig Subject Prior Particular Histor Histor	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, udes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. ny findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong set to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted criminal history sipation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ry of violence or use of weapons ry of alcohol or substance abuse
the Court concil By clear the safety By a prepthe defending addition to a limit with the safety Weige Subject Prior Particular History History Lack	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, tudes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. ny findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted criminal history sipation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ry of violence or use of weapons ry of alcohol or substance abuse of stable employment
the Court concil By clear the safety By a prepthe defending the defending to a subject the court court of the court of	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, tudes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. In findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong to to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted criminal history explains in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ray of violence or use of weapons ray of alcohol or substance abuse of stable employment of stable residence
By clear the safety □By a prepthe defending addition to a limit of the safety □By a prepthe defending a limit of the defending and the safety □ Weig □ Subjection □ Partico □ Histor □ Histor □ Lack □ Lack □ Lack □ Lack	sidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, tudes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. conderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure dant's appearance as required. ny findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: the of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted criminal history sipation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ry of violence or use of weapons ry of alcohol or substance abuse of stable employment

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention Pending Tri	ial	
Lack of	legal status in the Uni		
	•	tion after serving any period of incarceration	
	ilure to appear in court tempt(s) to evade law of		
	alias(es) or false docum		
	ound information unkn		
=		parole, or supervised release	
	NS OR FURTHER EX		
	Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention		
for confinement i being held in cus with defense cou	n a corrections facility tody pending appeal. insel. On order of a co	ody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative y separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the fility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an	
	nnection with a court p		
Date:	11/26/2024	s/ Héctor L. Ramos-Vega	
		United States Magistrate Judge	